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APPROACH

Smart Renewable Hubs for flexible generation:

Solar Grid Stability - GRIDSOL

GRIDSOL aims to provide secure, clean and efficient electricity by combining primary renewable energy sources and technology under an advanced control system. Video

- **Reduced investment**: Integrated operation of PV and CSP with HYSOL reduces the need for solar field area.

- **Dispatchability**: Battery and thermal energy storage provide with the ability of delaying the electric production. Having a gas turbine acting in combined cycle thanks to HYSOL improves this capacity, as the plant is able to produce power at any time.

- **High firmness**: Gas turbine, HYSOL and energy storage make possible to provide electricity output while fulfilling with the scheduled target.

- **High peak coverage**: Due to an optimized generation schedule.

- **Ability to follow a variable power target**: thanks to the dynamic output electricity manager (DOME).
OBJECTIVES
CONFIGURATION

A Smart Renewable Hub (SRH) is a flexible hybrid power plant that combines a core of synchronous and non-synchronous generators (CSP, PV, GT or WIND) with energy storage systems (thermal and electrochemical).

GRIDSOl initial case is a kind of SRH based on solar firm hybrid power plants, and its solution is detailed as follows:
DYNAMIC OUTPUT MANAGER OF ENERGY (DOME)

- **System operation:** Flexible generation & Grid stability

- **Flexible Generation**

- **Target:**
  - **DOME** manages and controls the electricity produced to meet TSOs requirements in terms of quantity (power) and quality (voltage, frequency, reactive, etc.).
  - **DOME** considers market rules and grid requirements to define the perfect generation mix at each moment.
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For the first simulation of GRIDSOL behaviour in the Day-Ahead electricity market the Italian region of “PUGLIA” has been selected

1. High solar irradiance
2. High RES penetration
3. Need of dispatchable generation in future decarbonization scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRIDSOL Configuration</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PV Power [MW]</strong></td>
<td>69.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BESS Nominal Power [MW]</strong></td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BESS Autonomy [hours]</strong></td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gas Turbine Nominal Power [MW]</strong></td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Steam Turbine Nominal Power [MW]</strong></td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TES Autonomy [hours]</strong></td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Solar Multiple</strong></td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRIDSOL Nominal Power [MW]</strong></td>
<td>104.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TES Storage Capacity [MWhth]</strong></td>
<td>350.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gas Turbine Nominal Efficiency [%]</strong></td>
<td>33.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Steam Turbine Nominal Efficiency [%]</strong></td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. High solar irradiance
2. High RES penetration
3. Need of dispatchable generation in future decarbonization scenario
PROMEDGRID is the market simulator for the day-ahead energy market benefits assessment of new generation and network reinforcements at European level.

Optimal coordinated hydrothermal scheduling of the modelled electric system generation set, over a period of one year, with an hourly detail. Deterministic model based on technical and economic characteristics of the power system.

**MAIN OUTPUTS**

- Hourly electricity price forecasting
- Evaluation of revenues, costs, returns, market shares for generators
- Evaluation of the impact of an assumed system/market scenario on the electricity price
- Evaluation of the active power flows between system zones: congestion, marginal price/cost, congestion rents
Case Study: Continental Europe

Gridsol Model in Promedgrid

Needed Input shared among WPs

- Hourly solar irradiation
- CSP efficiency
- TES maximum and minimum storage capacity, thermal losses
- ST max./min. power, efficiency
- PV Production profile
- GT max/min power, efficiency, fuel, heat recover

Independent Variables

- Gas Turbine production [MWh]
- Steam Turbine production [MWh]
- BESS Energy output [MWh]

Model

- The Optimization of Gridsol Production is carried out on the basis of the expected hourly price profile by Promedgrid.
- The optimization is aimed at maximizing the overall Gridsol profit.
- One synchronous generation always considered in operation (constraint)
- Flexible production thanks to the Thermal and Battery storage system.

Output

- Expected energy production of each part of Gridsol
- Expected profitability (revenues and cost estimation)
- Reduction of CO₂ emissions and fuel savings due to Gridsol project
RESULTS

- GRIDSOL Pilot Simulation in the **2020 Expected Progress Scenario** (ENTSO-E TYNDP 2016)
- The **market zone of South Italy** is characterized by an expected **flat price** profile with average value equal to **46 €/MWh**
- GRIDSOL does not exploit its full potential due to low differences from peak to lower prices

![Average Day Graph]

- ST and GT concentrated in the hours with higher prices
- Baseload Generation profile thanks also to the constraint
RESULTS: Focus on the GT, ST and BESS performance
CASE STUDY: CONTINENTAL EUROPE

RESULTS: SUNNY DAYS VS. CLOUDY DAYS PERFORMANCE

Three Days Performance of GRIDSOL in Sunny Days

- Max injection of heat from CSP
- ST produce H24 optimizing its production in function of the solar irradiance and the price profile
- GT produce in peak price hours

Three Days Performance of GRIDSOL in not Sunny Days

- ST concentrate its production in the price peak hours
- GT produces at its technical minimum to respect the constraint
RESULTS: CONSTRAINT EFFECT ON DAY-AHEAD MARKET

**Average Day with the Constraint**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Annual Production [GWh]</th>
<th>Average Selling Price (€/MWh)</th>
<th>Operation Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Market Price</td>
<td></td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steam Turbine</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>5 700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Turbine</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>3 700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>119.5</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>194.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gross Margin [k€]: 8.2 M€
Renewable Energy Content [%] = 93.7%

**Average Day without the Constraint**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Annual Production [GWh]</th>
<th>Average Selling Price (€/MWh)</th>
<th>Operation Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Market Price</td>
<td></td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steam Turbine</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>2 700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Turbine</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>119.5</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>187.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gross Margin [k€]: 8.4 M€
Renewable Energy Content [%]= 97.2%
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DAY-AHEAD MARKET RESULTS

- Flexible and continuous generation thanks to the DOME
- Flat price profile does not valorize properly this flexible generation
- The economic results of this preliminary configuration are not sufficient to cover the high investment costs of some technologies (BESS and CSP)

ANCILLARY SERVICES

(Under study)

- Forcing the operation of at least one synchronous generator (ST or GT) can increase the possibility to provide ancillary services but increases the costs in the day-ahead market

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Active constraint</th>
<th>No constraint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upward Reserve</td>
<td>50% of time with upward reserve &gt;10 MW</td>
<td>Very low upward reserve (15% time &gt;10MVA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downward Reserve</td>
<td>no particular differences (40-50% time: &gt;10MVA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OPEN ISSUES

- The pilot simulations allowed to identify the main items that impact the GRIDSOL performances:
  - Gas Turbine Size and Efficiency
  - The constraint of one synchronous generator always ON
  - Investment costs of CSP and BESS
  - Price profile
- The goal of an high flexibility of renewable generation is achievable under favourable external conditions including a proper regulatory framework
**CRETE (GREECE)**

**Size:** 8,303 km²  
**Population:** 623,065 inhabitants  
**Peak demand 2016:** 616 MW  
**Average Load Demand 2016:** 331 MW

---

### Electricity Production Share per Origin (2015)

- **Wind:** 17%
- **PV:** 6%
- **Diesel:** 13%
- **Heavy Fuel Oil (HFO):** 64%
CASE STUDY: EUROPEAN ISLAND

**DAY OF HIGHEST DEMAND**

- Days of highest demand are **warm days of summer**, usually with very low wind.
- **Wind parks** are unable to cover the excess demand.
- **Expensive diesel**-consuming units operate increasing the cost of the system.
- **RES penetration** is very low.

![](image)

**Hourly production per fuel type and hourly RES penetration during the day with the highest load demand (31/07/2015) on Crete**

**GRIDSOL** could be a solution for days like this lowering the cost, increasing RES penetration, while ensuring grid stability.
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GENERATION COST

- **Expensive units** operate during peak demand periods or to secure grid stability.

- **Higher cost** compared to mainland.

Crete Power Generation Costs vs. Mainland

**Fuel Cost per Type of Unit for 2016**
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OPERATIONAL RULES

How does it operate internally?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration under test</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PV Power (MW)</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batteries Nominal Power (MW)</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batteries Autonomy (hours)</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Turbine Power (MW)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steam Turbine Power (MW)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TES Storage Time (hours)</td>
<td>11.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRIDSOL Nominal Power (MW)</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Energy Content (%)</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Daily average GRIDSOL behavior in Crete. Source IDIE
How does it operate in the grid?

- Thermal producers
  - Availability of units
- Dispatchable RES producers
  - Energy Offers or Hourly Generation Schedules
- Operator
  - Technical minima & RES penetration margins
- Non dispatchable RES production forecasting

= Dispatch Schedule

Demand prediction
CASE STUDY: EUROPEAN ISLAND

PRELIMINARY SYSTEM TEST

The Application

These results are obtained with the Energy Planning Application. In this application the user has the ability to input the appropriate data and parameters according to which a unit integration algorithm runs for the hourly participation in the energy balance of Crete’s isolated power system.

The Results

Annual results for Crete’s power system with and without an addition of a 50 MW Solar Thermal station and a 50 MW Hybrid station (60 MW PV & 50 MW BESS).
## PRELIMINARY SYSTEM RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Crete’s Power system with 50 MW Solar Thermal &amp; 50 MW Hybrid Station</th>
<th>Crete’s Power System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thermal production (MWh)</strong></td>
<td>2,037,291</td>
<td>2,233,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RES Penetration (%)</strong></td>
<td>30.14</td>
<td>23.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CO2 emissions (tn)</strong></td>
<td>1,460,057</td>
<td>1,638,846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Solar Thermal production (MWh)</strong></td>
<td>141,545</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hybrid production (MWh)</strong></td>
<td>57,986</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wind production curtailed (%)</strong></td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>5.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thermal units operating time (h)</strong></td>
<td>89,037</td>
<td>98,446</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the addition of the Solar Thermal & Hybrid station:

- **Thermal production**
  - Decreased by 8.77%
- **Co₂ emissions**
  - Decreased by 10.91%
- **RES penetration**
  - Increased by ~7%
- **Thermal units operating time**
  - Decreased by 9.56%
- **Combined Solar Thermal & Hybrid production**
  - 199,531 MWh
RESULTS: Crete’s Power System daily operation

Expensive Unit replaced by Hybrid station during night peak demand

Thermal Production Replaced by Solar Thermal & Hybrid stations during the day
CONCLUSIONS

• **Smart Renewable Hubs**, as a novel concept, **do not have a proper regulatory framework** approved for its development yet over the whole European Union (EU). Then, it is required to settle the ground (new market rules and mechanisms) in several countries to **promote the installation of these flexible hybrid plants**.

• In **Continental Europe**, forecasted **low prices** for coming years **do not promote fully-flexible hybrid plants**. Current rules and mechanisms of EU Wholesale Electricity Market are not good to integrate flexible renewable hybrid plants such as GRIDSOL. In following stages of the project, incomes via ancillary services will be assessed to have a whole picture of the EU electricity market.

• The **necessity of flexibility** (energy storage) **to integrate RES will increase** in coming years as a result of a progressive shut-down of conventional power plants. Then, a more reasonable electricity price signal trough a capacity or flexibility market and a greater CO2 price are needed to achieve EU decarbonisation goals.

• For **European islands**, it is identified a **first niche market** where hybrid power plants have a real added-value to provide due to **higher electricity generation costs** and the greater necessity of firmness to secure electricity supply. Thus, EU island rules and mechanisms are better also due to Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs).

• In this context, **GRIDSOL represents a major drive to integrate renewable energy sources thanks to flexible generation and grid stability**. Along the project execution, GRIDSOL will assess different SRH configurations taking into account market rules and grid requirements to deliver the most cost-effective solution at each location.
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